

Neumann heat flow and gradient flow for the entropy on non-convex domains

Janna Lierl, Karl-Theodor Sturm

April 14, 2017

Abstract

For large classes of non-convex subsets Y in \mathbb{R}^n or in Riemannian manifolds (M, g) or in RCD-spaces (X, d, m) we prove that the gradient flow for the Boltzmann entropy on the restricted metric measure space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) exists – despite the fact that the entropy is not semiconvex – and coincides with the heat flow on Y with Neumann boundary conditions.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let (X, d) be a complete locally compact geodesic space and let m be a locally finite Borel measure with full topological support. We always assume that the metric measure space (X, d, m) satisfies the $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -condition for some finite number $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that this means that the *Boltzmann entropy* w.r.t. m

$$\text{Ent}_m : \mu \mapsto \begin{cases} \int f \log f \, dm, & \text{if } \mu = fm \\ \infty, & \text{if } \mu \not\ll m \end{cases}$$

is weakly K -convex on the L^2 -Wasserstein space of probability measures on (X, d) and that the *Cheeger energy* on (X, d, m)

$$\text{Ch} : f \mapsto \liminf_{\substack{g \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(X, m) \\ g \in \text{Lip}(X, d)}} \int |Dg(x)|^2 \, dm(x) \quad (1)$$

is a quadratic functional on $L^2(X, m)$, cf. Chapter 4.

It is well-known from the fundamental work of Ambrosio, Gigli, and Savaré [AGS14a] that, for all $f_0 \in L^2(X, m)$ with $\mu_0 = f_0 m \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, the following are equivalent

- $t \mapsto f_t$ is a gradient flow for Ch in $L^2(X, m)$
- $t \mapsto \mu_t = f_t m$ is a gradient flow for Ent_m in $(\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$.

For $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, this is the celebrated result of Jordan, Kinderlehrer, and Otto [JKO98]. Since any closed convex subset $Y \subset X$ inherits the $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -condition, the same equivalence holds for the heat flow on Y which should be regarded as the ‘heat flow on Y with Neumann boundary conditions on ∂Y ’. For non-convex Y , however, such an equivalence seems to be unknown so far – even in the Euclidean case.

Here and in the sequel, ‘gradient flow’ will always be understood in the so-called EDE-sense. In the previous situation the equivalence holds true also in the stronger formulation of gradient flows in the EVI_K -sense. In general, however, the $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -condition does not hold for non-convex subsets $Y \subset X$, thus there cannot exist EVI_K -gradient flows for the entropy.

Our main result is that - under slightly more restrictive assumptions on (X, d, m) and under mild assumptions on Y - there exists an (EDE-)gradient flow for the entropy and this flow necessarily coincides with the heat flow.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ -space and Y be a regularly κ -convex set (for some $\kappa \leq 0$). Then for all $f_0 \in L^2(Y, m_Y)$ with $\mu_0 = f_0 m_Y \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ the following are equivalent*

- (i) $t \mapsto f_t$ is a gradient flow for Ch_Y in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$
- (ii) $t \mapsto \mu_t = f_t m_Y$ is a gradient flow for Ent_{m_Y} in $(\mathcal{P}(Y), W_{2, d_Y})$.

The basic assumption here is that the set $Y \subset X$ is (regularly) κ -convex. It means that Y can be represented as sublevel set of some ('regular') function $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is κ -convex for some $\kappa \leq 0$. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 heavily depends on what we call the Convexification Theorem. It is the second main result of this paper and of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Y be a locally κ -convex subset in an $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -space (X, d, m) . Then for every $\kappa' < \kappa$ the set Y is locally geodesically convex in the metric measure space (X, d', m) where*

$$d'(x, y) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{-\kappa' V(\gamma_t)} |\dot{\gamma}_t| dt : \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \text{ abs. continuous, } \gamma_0 = x, \gamma_1 = y \right\}.$$

In the case of regularly κ -convex sets, the metric d' can be chosen uniformly equivalent to d with ratio arbitrarily close to 1. The convexity of Y in (X, d') will be proved using the contraction property

$$d(x_t, y_t) \leq e^{-\kappa' t} d(x_0, y_0)$$

for the EVI-gradient flow for V in (X, d) . Actually, the latter property will be extended to gradient flows for functions that are 'locally κ -convex' on some sets $Z \subset X$ which are not necessarily geodesically convex.

The discussion of κ -convex functions, κ -convex sets, and the Convexification Theorem will be the topic of Chapter 2. In Chapter 3, we prove that the convexification transform with regularly κ -convex potentials stays within the class of RCD -spaces. Chapter 4 is devoted to the study of the Cheeger energy Ch_Y on the restricted metric measure space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) . Among others, we prove that it coincides with the Neumann energy on Y induced by Ch . Finally, we identify the gradient flows for Ch_Y in $L^2(Y, d_Y)$ with the gradient flows for Ent_{m_Y} in $\mathcal{P}_2(Y, d_Y)$.

1.1 Some preliminaries

Gradients and gradient flows

Let us recall some general notions. Let $(\mathbf{Y}, d_{\mathbf{Y}})$ be a complete extended metric space and let $E : \text{Dom}(E) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a functional with domain $\text{Dom}(E) \subset \mathbf{Y}$. The *pointwise Lipschitz constant* of E is defined as

$$|DE|(y) := \text{lip } E(y) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \neq y} \frac{|E(y) - E(x)|}{d_{\mathbf{Y}}(x, y)}, \quad \text{if } y \in \text{Dom}(E),$$

$|DE|(y) := 0$ if $y \in \text{Dom}(E)$ is an isolated point, and $|DE|(y) := +\infty$ if $y \in \mathbf{Y} \setminus \text{Dom}(E)$.

A function $g : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an *upper gradient* of $f : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ if for any curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ that is absolutely continuous on the interval $(0, 1)$, the map $s \mapsto g(\gamma_s) |\dot{\gamma}_s|$ is measurable in $[0, 1]$ and $|f(\gamma(0)) - f(\gamma(1))| \leq \int_{\gamma} g$.

Definition 1.3. Let $(\mathbf{Y}, d_{\mathbf{Y}})$ be an extended metric space and let $E : \text{Dom}(E) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a functional with domain $\text{Dom}(E) \subset \mathbf{Y}$. A *gradient flow* for E in \mathbf{Y} starting from $y_0 \in \text{Dom}(E)$ is a locally absolutely continuous curve $(y_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)} \subset \text{Dom}(E)$ such that

$$E(y_0) = E(y_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\dot{y}_r|^2 dr + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |D^- E|^2(y_r) dr, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Here the *descending slope* of E is defined as

$$|D^- E|(y) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \neq y} \frac{[E(y) - E(x)]_+}{d_{\mathbf{Y}}(x, y)}, \quad \text{if } y \in \text{Dom}(E),$$

$|D^-E|(y) := 0$ if $y \in \text{Dom}(E)$ is an isolated point, and $|D^-E|(y) := +\infty$ if $y \in \mathbf{Y} \setminus \text{Dom}(E)$.

To distinguish these kind of gradient flows from other (related but not equivalent) ones they are also called *gradient flows in the EDE-sense* ('energy-dissipation equality').

The curvature-dimension condition

Let (X, d, m) be a complete metric measure space and let $K, N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $N \geq 1$.

Definition 1.4 ([Stu06],[AGS14b]). (i) We say that (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ if for any $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ with $W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1) < \infty$ there exists a (constant speed, as always) W_2 -geodesic $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ between μ_0 and μ_1 satisfying

$$\text{Ent}_m(\mu_t) \leq t\text{Ent}_m(\mu_1) + (1-t)\text{Ent}_m(\mu_0) - \frac{1}{2}Kt(1-t)W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1)^2 \quad (2)$$

('weak geodesic K -convexity of Ent_m on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ ').

- (ii) If in addition to (i) the Cheeger energy Ch is a quadratic form on $L^2(X, m)$, then we say that (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$.
- (iii) We say that (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$, if (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{CD}^*(K, N)$ in the sense of [BS10] or $\text{CD}^e(K, N)$ in the sense of [EKS15] and the Cheeger energy Ch is a quadratic form on $L^2(X, m)$.

Remark 1.5. If (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$, then *every* W_2 -geodesic $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ satisfies (2). See [AGS14b, Proposition 2.23]. This property is called 'strong geodesic K -convexity of Ent_m on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ ' or simply 'strong $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ '.

2 Convexification

The main result of this chapter will be the Convexification Theorem 2.16. Given a non-convex subset Y in the geodesic space (X, d) , it provides a method to transform the metric d into a conformally equivalent metric d' such that Y is locally convex in (X, d') . We will be interested in a class of subsets that we call κ -convex sets with $\kappa \leq 0$. In the smooth Riemannian setting, these are precisely the sets with uniform lower bound κ on the second fundamental form of ∂Y .

For the rest of this paper, we let (X, d, m) be a complete locally compact geodesic metric measure space, and m is locally finite Borel measure on X with full support. In this section, we assume that $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ is satisfied for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$. We let $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number (later on always $\kappa \leq 0$) and $V : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ will be a lower bounded, continuous function (w.r.t. the topology of the extended real line).

2.1 Geodesically convex sets and κ -convex functions

Definition 2.1. (i) A subset $Y \subset X$ is called *geodesically convex* if every geodesic $(\gamma_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$ in X completely lies in Y provided that $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in Y$.

(ii) A subset $Y \subset X$ is called *locally geodesically convex* if there exists an open covering $\bigcup_i X_i \supset Y$ such that each geodesic $(\gamma_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$ in X completely lies in Y provided $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in Y \cap X_i$ for some i .

Of course, the latter follows if the sets $Y \cap X_i$ are geodesically convex for each i . In general, the sets $Y \cap X_i$ do not need to be geodesically convex.

Remark 2.2. In order to verify that a set Y is locally geodesically convex it suffices that there exists an open covering $\bigcup_i X_i \supset \partial Y$ with the same property as above: each geodesic $(\gamma_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$ in X completely lies in Y provided $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in Y \cap X_i$ for some i .

Proof. Let an open covering $\bigcup_i X_i$ of ∂Y be given with the above property. Choose an open covering $\bigcup_j Z_j$ of $Y' = Y \setminus \bigcup_i X_i$ by sets Z_j whose diameter is smaller than their distance to $X \setminus Y$. Then of course any geodesic with endpoints in Z_j cannot leave Y . Thus $\bigcup_i X_i \cup \bigcup_j Z_j$ is an open covering of Y with the requested property. \square

Example 2.3. (i) For $\alpha \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, the set $Y = [-\alpha, \alpha]$ is a locally geodesically convex (but not geodesically convex) subset of $X = S^1$ parametrized as $(-\pi, \pi]$.

(ii) The set $Y = \{(t, \phi) : t \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \neq t \pmod{2\pi}\}$ is a locally geodesically convex (but not geodesically convex) subset of $X = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$.

Lemma 2.4 ([Stu, Corollary 2]). *The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) V is weakly κ -convex in the sense that for each $x_0, x_1 \in X$, there exists a geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ from x_0 to x_1 such that

$$V(\gamma(t)) \leq (1-t)V(\gamma(0)) + tV(\gamma(1)) - \frac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)|\dot{\gamma}|^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3)$$

- (ii) V is strongly κ -convex in the sense that (3) holds for all $x_0, x_1 \in X$ and for every geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ from x_0 to x_1 .

- (iii) V is κ -convex in the EVI-sense: for each $x_0 \in \overline{\{V < \infty\}}$ there exists an EVI_κ -gradient flow for V starting at x_0 , that is, a locally absolutely continuous curve $(x_t)_{t>0}$ in $\{V < \infty\}$ with $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} x_t = x_0$ such that, for all $z \in X$ and a.e. $t > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} d^2(x_t, z) \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2} d^2(x_t, z) + V(z) - V(x_t).$$

Definition 2.5. (i) A lower bounded, continuous function $V : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ will be called κ -convex on X if it satisfies some/all properties of the previous Lemma.

(ii) V is called κ -convex on a closed subset $Z \subset X$ if there exists a covering $Z \subset \bigcup_i X_i$ by geodesically convex open sets $X_i \subset X$ such that each $V|_{\overline{X_i}} : \overline{X_i} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is κ -convex.

Proposition 2.6. *Assume $Z \subset X$ is closed and that V is finite and κ -convex on Z . (i) For each $x_0 \in Z$ there exists a locally absolutely continuous curve $(x_t)_{t \in [0, \tau)}$ in Z starting in x_0 with $\lim_{t \nearrow \tau} x_t = x_\tau \in \partial Z$ if $\tau < \infty$ such that for all $z \in X$ and a.e. $t > 0$*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} d^2(x_t, z) \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2} d^2(x_t, z) + V(z) - V(x_t) \quad (4)$$

provided $x_t, z \in X_i$ for some i ('local EVI_κ gradient flow' for V starting at x_0).

(ii) *For any $x_0, y_0 \in Z$, the associated local EVI_κ -gradient flows (x_t) and (y_t) satisfy the contraction property*

$$d(x_t, y_t) \leq e^{-\kappa t} d(x_0, y_0) \quad (5)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ with the property that for each $s \in [0, t]$ a connecting geodesic for x_s, y_s completely lies in Z .

Proof. (i) Let (X_i) be a covering of Z as in Definition 2.5(ii). For each i , the set $\overline{X_i}$ is closed and convex. Hence, the restriction of d and m to X_i yields an $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -space (X_i, d_i, m_i) . Indeed, optimal transport between measures in $\mathcal{P}_2(X_i)$ takes places along geodesics in (X, d) . By the convexity of X_i , all geodesics between points in X_i completely lie in X_i . Hence, geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_{2,d})$ between measures in $\mathcal{P}_2(X_i)$ are also geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X_i), W_{2,d_i})$.

On (X_i, d_i, m_i) , there exists a (unique) EVI_κ -gradient flow for V , see [Stu]. Uniqueness of EVI_κ -gradient flows implies that the flows for V on X_i and on X_j coincide on $X_i \cap X_j$. (For the uniqueness assertion, note that it suffices to verify (4) for all z in a neighborhood of x_t .) Patching together the flows on the X_i 's yields the 'local EVI_κ -gradient flow' on Z .

(ii) Consider a time s for which the floating points x_s and y_s can be joined by a geodesic $(\gamma_r^s)_{r \in [0, 1]}$ in (X, d) that completely lies in Z . Because each X_i is geodesically convex, the

geodesic (γ^s) passes through each X_i at most once. Thus, we can find a finite number n and $r_k \in [0, 1]$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ with $r_0 = 0, r_n = 1$ such that, for each k , the points $\gamma_{r_k}^s$ and $\gamma_{r_{k+1}}^s$ lie in one of the sets (X_i) , say in $X_{i_{k,s}}$. The local EVI_κ -gradient flows starting in these points will remain in $X_{i_{k,s}}$ at least for a short time, say for $s' \in [s, s + \delta_s]$. Thus for all these s' , according to [Stu],

$$d(\gamma_{r_k}^{s'}, \gamma_{r_{k+1}}^{s'}) \leq e^{-\kappa(s'-s)} d(\gamma_{r_k}^s, \gamma_{r_{k+1}}^s).$$

Adding up these distances for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ yields

$$d(\gamma_0^{s'}, \gamma_1^{s'}) \leq e^{-\kappa(s'-s)} d(\gamma_0^s, \gamma_1^s).$$

This implies $\frac{d}{ds} (e^{\kappa s} d(\gamma_0^s, \gamma_1^s)) \leq 0$. Integrating over all $s \in [0, t]$ proves the assertion. \square

Let us recall the definition of test functions as given in [Gig, Definition 3.1.2]:

$$\text{TestF}(X) := \{f \in \text{Dom}(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(X) : |Df| \in L^\infty, \Delta f \in W^{1,2}(X)\}.$$

Lemma 2.7. *For $V \in \text{TestF}(X)$ and for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ the following are equivalent*

- (i) V is κ -convex.
- (ii) $\mu \mapsto \int V d\mu$ is κ -convex on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$.
- (iii) For all $f \in \text{Dom}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta f \in W^{1,2}(X)$,

$$\text{Hess}_V(Df, Df) \geq \kappa |Df|^2$$

holds in a weak sense, i.e. when integrated against any non-negative function $g \in \text{Dom}(\Delta)$ with $g, \Delta g \in L^\infty(X)$.

Proof. This result – more precisely, the equivalence of (i) and (iii) – was already derived in [Ket15, Theorem 7.2]. For convenience, we present an independent proof.

In view of [Vil09, Corollary 7.22], (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For $t \in (0, \infty)$ consider the weighted metric measure space $(X, d, e^{-tV} m)$ which satisfies a $\text{RCD}(K + t'\kappa)$ -condition for any $t' < t$ by Proposition 3.1. This implies a Bochner inequality for the associated weighted Dirichlet form,

$$\Gamma_2^{tV} \geq (K + t\kappa) \Gamma^{tV}$$

in a weak sense, see [AGS14b, Remark 6.3]. But $\Gamma_2^{tV} = \Gamma_2 + t \cdot \text{Hess}_V$ whereas $\Gamma^{tV} = \Gamma$. For $t \rightarrow \infty$ this yields the claim

$$\text{Hess}_V \geq \kappa \Gamma.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Assuming the lower bound on the Hessian, we get

$$\Gamma_2^{tV} \geq (K + t\kappa) \Gamma^{tV}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Thus again by the equivalence of the Eulerian and Lagrangian formulation of synthetic Ricci bounds [AGS15] this yields the $\text{RCD}(K + t\kappa)$ -condition, hence strong $\text{CD}(K + t\kappa)$ by Remark 1.5, for the weighted metric measure space $(X, d, e^{-tV} m)$. In the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, this proves that the functional $\mu \mapsto \int V d\mu$ is strongly κ -displacement convex on $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$, that is, for any geodesic $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\int V d\mu_t \leq (1-t) \int V d\mu_0 + t \int V d\mu_1 - \frac{\kappa}{2} t(1-t) W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1)^2.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let points $x_0, x_1 \in X$ be given. Approximating δ_{x_i} by absolutely continuous measures $\mu_{i,n}$ with support in $B(x_i, 1/n)$ yields

$$\int V d\mu_{i,n} \rightarrow V(x_i)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $i = 0, 1$. For each n , let $(\mu_{t,n})_t$ be a geodesic in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ from $\mu_{0,n}$ to $\mu_{1,n}$. Fix an arbitrary $t \in (0, 1)$. Each accumulation point μ_t of the t -midpoints $\mu_{t,n}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ will be a t -midpoint of a geodesic from δ_{x_0} to δ_{x_1} . Moreover, continuity and local boundedness of V implies

$$\int V d\mu_{t,n} \rightarrow \int V d\mu_t.$$

By [Vil09, Corollary 7.22], each of the measures μ_t must be supported by t -midpoints of geodesics from x_0 and x_1 . Choose one of these t -midpoints γ_t with minimal $V(\gamma_t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(\gamma_t) &\leq \int V d\mu_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int V d\mu_{t,n} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[(1-t) \int V d\mu_{0,n} + t \int V d\mu_{1,n} - \frac{\kappa}{2} t(1-t) W_2(\mu_{0,n}, \mu_{1,n})^2 \right] \\ &= (1-t)V(x_0) + tV(x_1) - \frac{\kappa}{2} t(1-t) d^2(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. \square

2.2 κ -convex sets

Definition 2.8. A subset $Y \subset X$ is called *locally κ -convex* if there exists a continuous function $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $Y = \{x \in X : V(x) \leq 0\}$ and if for each $\delta > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that the function V is $(\kappa - \delta)$ -convex on Y_r^0 with $|DV| \geq 1 - \delta$ where $Y_r^0 := \{0 < V \leq r\}$. We always assume that $m(Y) > 0$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a smooth Riemannian manifold, $Y \subset X$ with smooth boundary and $\kappa \leq 0$. Then the following are equivalent*

- (i) *For each $\kappa' < \kappa$ there is a function $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = \{x \in X : V(x) \leq 0\}$, and there is a neighborhood U of ∂Y such that V is smooth on U , $\text{Hess}_V \geq \kappa'$ on U , and $|DV| = 1$ on U .*
- (ii) *For each $\kappa' < \kappa$ there is a neighborhood U of ∂Y such that $\text{Hess}_V \geq \kappa'$ holds on U with $V := \pm d(\cdot, \partial Y)$ being the signed distance from the boundary.*
- (iii) *The real-valued second fundamental form satisfies $\mathcal{I}_{\partial Y} \geq \kappa$.*

In the above proposition, $\mathcal{I}_{\partial Y}$ denotes the second fundamental form of ∂Y in Y , defined as $\mathcal{I}_{\partial Y}(u, w) := \langle n, \tilde{D}_u W \rangle n$, where $u, w \in T_m \partial Y$, \tilde{D} denotes the covariant derivative, n is the inward unit normal vector at m , and W is a vector field in a neighborhood of m , tangent to ∂Y at any point of ∂Y and with value w at m .

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): It follows from [AS96, Theorem 3.1] that the signed distance function is smooth in a neighborhood of ∂Y and satisfies $|DV| = 1$ on this neighborhood of ∂Y .

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): For $z \in \partial Y$ and $\xi, \psi \in T_z \partial Y$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\partial Y}(\xi, \psi) = \frac{1}{|DV(z)|} \text{Hess}_V(\xi, \psi)$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{I}_{\partial Y}(\xi, \xi) \geq \kappa |\xi|^2.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Choose $V := \pm d(\cdot, \partial Y)$. Then for $z \in \partial Y$ and $\xi \in T_z \partial Y$,

$$\text{Hess}_V(\xi, \xi) = \mathcal{I}_{\partial Y}(\xi, \xi) \geq \kappa |\xi|^2.$$

Moreover, $\text{Hess}_V(\xi, \xi) = 0$ for $\xi = DV$. Thus $\text{Hess}_V \geq \kappa$ on ∂Y and therefore for any $\kappa' < \kappa$ we obtain $\text{Hess}_V \geq \kappa'$ on a suitable neighborhood of ∂Y . \square

Proposition 2.10. *Assume that (X, d) is an Alexandrov space with generalized sectional curvature $\geq L$. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{L}}$ if $L > 0$ and arbitrary otherwise. Then $Y = X \setminus B_r(z)$, the complement of the ball with radius r around $z \in X$, is κ -convex with*

$$-\kappa = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r}, & \text{if } L = 0 \\ \sqrt{L} \cot(\sqrt{L}r), & \text{if } L > 0 \\ \sqrt{-L} \coth(\sqrt{L}r), & \text{if } L < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put

$$V_0(x) = \frac{1}{\Phi'(r)} (\Phi(r) - \Phi(d(x, z))), \quad V(x) = \max\{V_0(x), 0\}$$

where

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}r^2, & \text{if } L = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{L} \cos(\sqrt{L}r), & \text{if } L > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{L} \cosh(\sqrt{-L}r), & \text{if } L < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $Y = \{V \leq 0\}$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \inf_{x \in B_r(Y) \setminus Y} |DV(x)| = 1$. Moreover,

$$\text{Hess } V_0(x) \geq \frac{1}{\Phi'(r)} \Phi''(d(x, z)) \cdot \text{Id}$$

for all $x \in X$, see e.g. [AB03, Proposition 3.1]. (This inequality has to be understood in some weak sense. The precise meaning is in terms of suitable convexity along geodesic curves or - more elementary - in terms of comparison of distances in corresponding triangles.) Thus, in particular,

$$\text{Hess } V_0(x) \geq \kappa \cdot \text{Id}$$

with κ as above for all $x \in B_r(z)$. The precise meaning here is exactly κ -convexity of V_0 in the sense of Lemma 2.4. Since κ -convexity is preserved by taking pointwise maximum, this therefore implies $\text{Hess } V(x) \geq \kappa \cdot \text{Id}$ for all $x \in X$. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let (X, d) be an Alexandrov space with generalized sectional curvature $\geq L$ and as before let $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{L}}$ if $L > 0$ and arbitrary otherwise. Assume that $Y \subset X$ satisfies the exterior ball condition with radius r . That is,*

$$X \setminus Y = \bigcup_{x \in Z_r} B_r(x)$$

with $Z_r := \{z \in X : d(x, Y) > r\}$. Then Y is locally κ -convex with κ as above.

Proof. For each $z \in Z_r$ put

$$V_z(x) = \frac{1}{\Phi'(r)} (\Phi(r) - \Phi(d(x, z)))_+,$$

where Φ is above. Then as before, $x \mapsto V_z(r)$ is κ -convex. Stability of κ -convexity under taking pointwise suprema, therefore, yields that

$$V(x) := \sup_{z \in Z_r} V_z(x)$$

is κ -convex in x . Moreover, obviously $Y = \{V \leq 0\}$ and, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|DV| \geq 1 - \delta$ on $Y_\varepsilon^0 = \{0 < V \leq \varepsilon\}$. \square

Let Y be a locally κ -convex subset of X , parametrized by a continuous function $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = \{V \leq 0\}$. Define $Y_r^0 := \{0 < V \leq r\}$. For $\delta > 0$, choose $r > 0$ such that on $\overline{Y_r^0}$ the function V is $(\kappa - \delta)$ -convex with $|DV| \geq 1 - \delta$. Set $\kappa' := \kappa - \delta$.

For $x \in \overline{Y_r^0}$, let $T(x) := \min\{t \geq 0 : x_t \in Y\}$, where $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the $\text{EVI}_{\kappa'}$ -gradient flow for V starting from $x_0 = x$. Given $x, y \in \overline{Y_r^0}$, put $T(x, y) := \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} T(\gamma_s)$ where $(\gamma_s)_{s \in [0, 1]}$ denotes some geodesic connecting x to y .

Lemma 2.12. *Let Y, V, δ, r be as above. Then for all $x, y \in \overline{Y}_r^0$*

$$\frac{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y, x)} \leq e^{-(\kappa - \delta)T(x, y)}.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case that $(x_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T(x)}$ and $(y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T(y)}$ as well as all geodesics connecting x_t and y_t for $t \leq \tau := T(x) \wedge T(y)$ lie in one chart X_i . Set $\sigma := |T(x) - T(y)|$. Then for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$ we have, by (5), that $d(y_t, x_t) \leq e^{-\kappa' t} d(y, x)$. In particular,

$$\frac{d(y_\tau, x_\tau)}{d(y, x)} \leq e^{-\kappa' \tau} \quad (6)$$

Assume first that $0 \leq T(x) \leq T(y)$. Then $\tau = T(x)$ and $\sigma + \tau = T(y)$. Consider (4) with observation point $z = x_\tau$ and EVI $_{\kappa'}$ -gradient flow $(y_{\tau+t})_{t \geq 0}$ starting in y_τ . Then, due to (4),

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} d^2(y_{\tau+t}, x_\tau) \leq -\frac{\kappa'}{2} d^2(y_{\tau+t}, x_\tau) + V(x_\tau) - V(y_{\tau+t}).$$

Since $V(x_\tau) = V(x_{T(x)}) = 0 \leq V(y_{\tau+t})$ for $t \in [0, \sigma]$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} d(y_{\tau+t}, x_\tau) \leq -\frac{\kappa'}{2} d(y_{\tau+t}, x_\tau).$$

By Gronwall's lemma,

$$d(y_{\tau+t}, x_\tau) \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2} t} d(y_\tau, x_\tau), \quad \forall t \in [0, \sigma].$$

Setting $t = \sigma$ yields

$$d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)}) \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2} \sigma} d(y_\tau, x_\tau). \quad (7)$$

Interchanging the roles of x and y in the above paragraph, we obtain the same estimate (7) also in the case $T(x) \geq T(y) \geq 0$. Combining (7) and (6), we obtain

$$\frac{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y, x)} \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2} (\sigma + 2\tau)} \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2} (T(x) + T(y))}. \quad (8)$$

Now let us consider the general case. Given starting points $x, y \in \overline{Y}_r^0$, choose points γ^{r_k} for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ on the connecting geodesic with sufficiently small distance between consecutive points and apply the previous argument to the flows starting in pairs of points γ^{r_k} and $\gamma^{r_{k+1}}$. It finally yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \sum_k d(\gamma^{r_k}, \gamma^{r_{k+1}}) \\ &\geq \sum_k e^{\frac{\kappa'}{2} (T(\gamma^{r_k}) + T(\gamma^{r_{k+1}}))} d(\gamma_{T(\gamma^{r_k})}^{r_k}, \gamma_{T(\gamma^{r_{k+1}})}^{r_{k+1}}) \\ &\geq e^{\kappa' T(x, y)} d(x_{T(x)}, y_{T(y)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 The convexity transform

Throughout the sequel, let $Y = \{V \leq 0\}$ be a locally κ -convex subset of X for some $\kappa \leq 0$.

Definition 2.13. (i) For a function $\phi : Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that is bounded and bounded away from zero, define a metric $\phi \odot d$ on Y by

$$(\phi \odot d)(x, y) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \phi(\gamma_t) |\dot{\gamma}_t| dt : \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \text{ abs. continuous, } \gamma_0 = x, \gamma_1 = y \right\}$$

(ii) For every $\kappa' \leq \kappa$, put $d_{\kappa'} := \phi_{\kappa'} \odot d$ with $\phi_{\kappa'}(x) := e^{-\kappa' V(x)}$.

Note that $-\kappa' \geq 0$, thus $-\kappa'V \geq 0$ on $X \setminus Y$ whereas $-\kappa'V \leq 0$ on Y .

Put $Y_r := \{z \in X : V(z) \leq r\}$. The following result is a consequence of Lemma 2.12.

Corollary 2.14. *For any $\kappa' < \kappa$, there exists $r > 0$ such that for all $x \in Y_r$*

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d_{\kappa'}(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d_{\kappa'}(y, x)} \leq 1,$$

with strict inequality if $x \in Y_r \setminus Y$.

Proof. Given $\kappa' < \kappa$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $\kappa' < (1 - \delta)^{-2} \cdot (\kappa - \delta)$. By assumption, there exist $r > 0$ such that V is $(\kappa - \delta)$ -convex and $|DV|^2 \geq (1 - \delta)$ on $Y_r \setminus Y$. Since (x_t) is a gradient flow in the sense of Definition 1.3, it is easy to see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(x_t) = -|DV(x_t)|^2$ for every $t > 0$. Therefore, $V(x_t) < -(1 - \delta)^2 t + V(x)$ as long as x_t does not leave $Y_r \setminus Y$. Thus $T(x)(1 - \delta)^2 < V(x)$ for all $x \in Y_r \setminus Y$ and, of course, $T(x) = 0$ for $x \in Y$. Hence, by Lemma 2.12 (more precisely, by (8)) for all $x, y \in Y_r$ sufficiently close to each other (such that they lie in a common set X_i)

$$\frac{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y, x)} \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa - \delta}{2}(T(x) + T(y))} \leq e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2}(V(x) + V(y))}$$

and, moreover, $\frac{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y, x)} < e^{-\frac{\kappa'}{2}(V(x) + V(y))}$ if $x \notin Y$. Noting that $\phi_{\kappa'}(x_{T(x)}) = 1$, we obtain for all $x \in Y_r$

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d_{\kappa'}(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d_{\kappa'}(y, x)} &\leq \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{d_{\kappa'}(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})} \frac{d(y_{T(y)}, x_{T(x)})}{d(y, x)} \frac{d(y, x)}{d_{\kappa'}(y, x)} \\ &\leq \phi_{\kappa'}(x_{T(x)}) e^{-\kappa' V(x)} \frac{1}{\phi_{\kappa'}(x)} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

with strict inequality in the last line if $x \notin Y$. □

Corollary 2.15. *For $\kappa' < \kappa$ and $r > 0$ as in the previous corollary, consider the map*

$$\Phi : Y_r \rightarrow Y, \quad x \mapsto x_{T(x)}.$$

For any Lipschitz curve $(\gamma_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ in Y_r define a Lipschitz curve $(\tilde{\gamma}_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ in Y by $\tilde{\gamma}_s := \Phi(\gamma_s)$. Then

$$\text{length}_{d_{\kappa'}}(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq \text{length}_{d_{\kappa'}}(\gamma)$$

with strict inequality if the original curve $(\gamma_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ does not completely lie in Y (or, in other words, if $\tilde{\gamma} \neq \gamma$).

Theorem 2.16 ('Convexification Theorem'). *Y is locally geodesically convex in $(X, d_{\kappa'})$ for any $\kappa' < \kappa$.*

Proof. With $\kappa' < \kappa$ and $r > 0$ as in Corollary 2.14 and $d' := d_{\kappa'}$, choose a countable family of open sets $U_i \subset X$ with d' -diameter $2\delta_i$ such that

$$Y \subset \bigcup_i U_i, \quad \bigcup_i B'_{\delta_i}(U_i) \subset Y_r$$

where $B'_{\delta_i}(U_i) = \{x : d'(x, U_i) < \delta_i\}$.

- Then every d' -geodesic $(\gamma_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ in X with endpoints in one of the sets $Y \cap U_i$ will completely lie in Y_r .
- According to Corollary 2.15, the fact that $(\gamma_s)_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$ is a d' -geodesic with endpoints in Y implies that it has to lie completely in Y . Otherwise, the map Φ would map it onto a shorter curve with the same endpoints.

□

3 Controlling the curvature

Our next goal is to prove that the convexification transform introduced in the previous section preserves generalized lower Ricci curvature bounds. More precisely, we will show that (X, d', m) satisfies an $\text{RCD}(K', \infty)$ -condition provided that (X, d, m) satisfies an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ -condition. Recall that the metric measure space (X, d, m) is said to be a $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ space if the reduced curvature dimension condition $\text{CD}^*(K, N)$ (defined in [BS10, Definition 2.3]) is satisfied and the Cheeger energy is a quadratic form on $L^2(X, m)$.

Another goal is to prove that the $\text{CD}(K', \infty)$ -condition is preserved if we replace the metric measure space by a locally geodesically convex subset.

3.1 Curvature control for convexity transform

Proposition 3.1 ([EKS15, Proposition 3.3]). *Let (X, d, m) satisfy $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ and let $V : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ be a lower bounded, κ -convex function with $|DV|^2 \leq C_1$. Then for each $N' > N$ the drift-transformed metric measure space $(X, d, e^{-V}m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K', N')$ with $K' := K + \kappa + \frac{C_1}{N' - N}$.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}^*(K', N')$ space with finite $K', N' \in \mathbb{R}, N' \geq 1$. Then for every $w \in \text{TestF}(X)$ the conformally transformed metric measure space $(X, e^w \odot d, e^{N'w} m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K'', N')$ provided that*

$$K'' := \inf_{x \in X} e^{-2w} \left[K' - \Delta w - (N' - 2)\Gamma(w) - \sup_{f \in \text{TestF}(X)} \frac{N' - 2}{\Gamma(f)} (\text{Hess}_w(Df, Df) - \Gamma(w, f)^2) \right]$$

is real number.

Proof. This is proved in [HM, Corollary 3.15] under the hypothesis that an exponential volume growth condition holds. The volume growth condition, however, follows from $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$, by [Stu06, Theorem 4.24]. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ space and let $w \in \text{TestF}(X)$ be a κ -convex function. Then for each $N' > N$ the transformed metric measure space $(X, e^w \odot d, m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K'', \infty)$, provided that*

$$K'' := \inf_{x \in X} e^{-2w} \left[K' - \Delta w + 2\Gamma(w) - \sup_{f \in \text{TestF}(X)} \frac{N' - 2}{\Gamma(f)} (\text{Hess}_w(Df, Df) - \Gamma(w, f)^2) \right]$$

is real number where $K' := K + N'\kappa + N'^2 \frac{C_1}{N' - N}$.

Proof. For given $N' > N$, apply first Proposition 3.1 with $V := N'w$. Then apply Proposition 3.2 with $e^{-N'w}m$ in the place of m and thus with $\Delta - N'\Gamma(w, \cdot)$ in the place of Δ . \square

We are now going to apply these results to the convexification transform as introduced in the previous chapter. To do so, we have to slightly enforce the assumptions on the functions V used for defining κ -regular sets.

Definition 3.4. A subset $Y \subset X$ is called *regularly κ -convex* if for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ there exists a κ -convex map $V = V_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow (-\varepsilon, \infty)$ such that

$$Y = \{x \in X : V(x) \leq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\{x \in X : 0 < V(x) \leq r\}} |DV|(x) \geq 1$$

and in addition

- (i) $V \in \text{TestF}(X)$,
- (ii) $\Delta V \leq C_2$ for some constant $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

(iii) $\text{Hess}_V(Df, Df) \leq C_3|Df|^2$ for all $f \in \text{TestF}(X)$.

Functions V with these properties will be called regularly κ -convex.

Remark 3.5. In the Riemannian setting, we can simply start with the function V_ϵ for $\epsilon = 1$ and construct all other V_ϵ by truncating V_1 at level $-\epsilon$ and smoothing the resulting function such that it still matches the requested bounds on the second derivatives. In general, however, such a smoothing might not exist. Note that (iii) implies (ii) if, as in the Riemannian setting, $\Delta V = \text{tr Hess}_V$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ -space and let $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a regularly κ -convex function for some $\kappa \leq 0$. Then for every $\kappa' < \kappa$ the mm-space $(X, e^{-\kappa'V} \odot d, m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K'', \infty)$ with*

$$K'' = e^{2\kappa'C_0} [K - (N+1)\kappa\kappa' + (N+1)^2\kappa'^2C_1 + \kappa'C_2 - (N-3)\kappa'^2C_1 + (N-1)\kappa'C_3],$$

where C_i for $i = 0, \dots, 4$ are finite constants with $V \leq C_0$, $\Gamma(V) \leq C_1$ and C_2, C_3 as in the previous Definition.

Proof. Let $w := -\kappa'V$ and $N' = N + 1$. Then $w \in \text{TestF}(X)$ and $N'w = -\kappa'N'V$ is $(-\kappa\kappa'N')$ -convex with $|D(N'w)|^2 \leq \kappa'^2N'^2C_1$. By Corollary 3.3, $(X, e^{-\kappa'V} \odot d, m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K'', \infty)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} K'' &= \inf_{x \in X} e^{-2w} \left[K' - \Delta w + 2\Gamma(w) - \sup_{f \in \text{TestF}(X)} \frac{N' - 2}{\Gamma(f)} (\text{Hess}_w(Df, Df) - \Gamma(w, f)^2) \right] \\ &= \inf_{x \in X} e^{2\kappa'V} \left[K' + \kappa'\Delta V + 2\kappa'^2\Gamma(V) - \sup_{f \in \text{TestF}(X)} \frac{N' - 2}{\Gamma(f)} (\text{Hess}_{-\kappa'V}(Df, Df) - \Gamma(-\kappa'V, f)^2) \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in X} e^{2\kappa'V} \left[K' - (-\kappa')\Delta V + 2\kappa'^2\Gamma(V) + \inf_{f \in \text{TestF}(X)} \frac{N' - 2}{\Gamma(f)} (\kappa'\text{Hess}_V(Df, Df) + \kappa'^2\Gamma(V, f)^2) \right] \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in X} e^{2\kappa'V} [K' - (-\kappa')\Delta V + 2\kappa'^2\Gamma(V) + (N-1)(\kappa'C_3 - \kappa'^2\Gamma(V))] \\ &= e^{2\kappa'C_0} [K' + \kappa'C_2 - (N-3)\kappa'^2C_1 + (N-1)\kappa'C_3] \end{aligned}$$

where $K' := K - N'\kappa\kappa' + N'^2\kappa'^2C_1$. □

3.2 Curvature control for restriction to locally geodesically convex sets

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d, m) be a metric measure space that satisfies $CD(K, \infty)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $Y \subset X$ be closed subset that is locally geodesically convex and satisfies $m(Y) > 0$, $Y = \overline{Y^\circ}$ and $d_Y < \infty$ on $Y \times Y$. Then (Y, d_Y, m_Y) also satisfies $CD(K, \infty)$. Here d_Y denotes the induced length metric on Y , i.e.*

$$d_Y(x, y) := \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}_t| dt : \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y \text{ abs. continuous, } \gamma_0 = x, \gamma_1 = y \right\}$$

and m_Y denotes the restriction of m to Y .

More generally, if (X, d, m) satisfies $CD^*(K, N)$ or $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ then the same is true for (Y, d_Y, m_Y) .

The condition $Y = \overline{Y^\circ}$ guarantees that m_Y has full topological support. The conditions $m(Y) > 0$ and $d_Y < \infty$ avoid pathologies. Obviously, $d_Y < \infty$ if and only if any two points $x, y \in Y$ can be connected by a geodesic which completely lies in Y .

Proof. We first show that (Y, d_Y) is locally compact. If Y is locally geodesically convex with open covering $\bigcup_i X_i$, then $d(x, y) = d_Y(x, y)$ whenever $x, y \in X_i$ for some i . Let $y \in Y \cap X_i$ for some X_i . By the local compactness of (X, d) , there exists a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of y that is compact in (X, d) . Let $r := d(y, \overline{X_i} \setminus X_i)$ and set $V := U \cap Y \cap B(y, r/2)$. Clearly, V is a neighborhood of y in Y . For any sequence $(x_n) \subset V$, there exists $x \in X$ and a subsequence (y_{n_k}) in V such that $d(y_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow 0$. Since Y is closed and U is compact and y is away from $\overline{X_i} \setminus X_i$, we have $x \in V$. By the local convexity of Y , each of the points y_{n_k} can be connected to x by a geodesic that completely lies in Y . Hence, $d_Y(y_{n_k}, x) = d(y_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow 0$.

Every d_Y -Wasserstein geodesic with endpoints in $Y \cap X_i$ coincides with a d -Wasserstein geodesic. In particular, for any pair of probability measures μ_0, μ_1 supported in $Y \cap X_i$ there exists a d_Y -Wasserstein geodesic along which the entropy is K -convex. Thus (Y, d_Y, m_Y) locally has curvature $\geq K$ in the sense of [Stu06, Definition 4.5(iii)]. The Local-to-Global-Theorem [Stu06, Theorem 4.17], then implies that it has curvature $\geq K'$ for each $K' < K$. Local compactness allows to conclude that it has curvature $\geq K$ or, in other words, that it satisfies $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$. Indeed, it suffices to verify the K -convexity of the entropy along optimal transports between pairs of probability measures with bounded supports [Vil09, Corollary 29.23]. These transports will stay within bounded, hence compact, sets. \square

4 Heat flow on Y as gradient flow for the entropy

4.1 Heat flow on X

In this section, we assume that the (complete, locally compact, geodesic) metric measure space (X, d, m) has full topological support and satisfies

$$\int e^{-Cd^2(x, z)} dm(x) < \infty \quad (9)$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}, z \in X$. Note that (9) follows from the $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ condition.

Definition 4.1. A function $G \in L^2(X, m)$ is a *relaxed gradient* of $f \in L^2(X, m)$ if there exists a sequence of Borel measurable d -Lipschitz functions $f_n \in L^2(X, m)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(X, m)$, $|Df_n|$ converges weakly to some $\tilde{G} \in L^2(X, m)$ and $\tilde{G} \leq G$ m -almost everywhere in X . The *minimal relaxed gradient* $|Df|_*$ is the relaxed gradient of f which has minimal L^2 -norm among all relaxed gradients of f .

Suppose (9) holds. Then, by [AGS14a, Theorem 6.2], the minimal relaxed gradient $|Df|_*$ coincides with the \mathcal{T} -minimal weak upper gradient defined in [AGS14a, Definition 5.11] with respect to the collection \mathcal{T} of all test plans concentrated on the absolutely continuous curves in X with bounded compression, with the upper gradient from [Che99, HK98], as well as with the Newtonian gradient from [Sha00]. The minimal relaxed gradient $|Df|_*$ is also the same as the weak gradient in [HM], by [AGS14a, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 4.2. (i) The Cheeger energy $\text{Ch}(f) : L^2(X, m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as defined in (1) coincides with

$$\text{Ch}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X |Df|_*^2 dm, \quad (10)$$

when f has a minimal relaxed gradient, and $\text{Ch}(f) := +\infty$ otherwise.

(ii) The domain $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}) := \{f \in L^2(X, m) : \text{Ch}(f) < +\infty\}$ of the Cheeger energy, also denoted by \mathcal{F} or $W^{1,2}(X, d, m)$, is dense in $L^2(X, m)$ and complete. The space of Lipschitz functions f with $|Df| \in L^2$ is dense in $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch})$ for the norm $\|f\| := (\text{Ch}(f) + \int_X f^2 dm)^{1/2}$.

(iii) The Cheeger energy is strongly local, Markovian, and regular with core $\text{Lip}_c(X)$.

Proof. (i) In view of [AGS14a, Lemma 4.3(c)], it is easy to verify that the integrand in the Cheeger energy (1) is indeed the square of the minimal relaxed gradient.

(ii) From (i) and (1), we see that the space of Lipschitz continuous functions f with $|Df| \in L^2(X, m)$ is dense in $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch})$. The density of $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch})$ in $L^2(X, m)$ is proved in [AGS14a, Theorem 4.5]. Completeness follows from either [AGS14a, Remark 4.6] or [Che99, Theorem 2.7].

(iii) The strong locality and Markovian property follow from [AGS14a, Proposition 4.8]. Regularity follows from (ii) and the fact that we can use the distance function to construct cutoff functions that are Lipschitz continuous with slope 1. \square

Lemma 4.3. (i) For each $f_0 \in L^2(X, m)$ there exists a unique gradient flow $(f_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)} \subset L^2(X, m)$ for the Cheeger energy Ch which starts in f_0 . It is called the heat flow starting in f_0 .

(ii) If (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ then for each $f_0 \in L^2(X, m)$ with $f_0 m \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ the following are equivalent:

- $t \mapsto f_t$ is a gradient flow for Ch in $L^2(X, m)$
- $t \mapsto \mu_t = f_t m$ is a gradient flow for Ent_m in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$.

Proof. (i) This follows from existence theory of gradient flows on Hilbert spaces, e.g. [AGS05, Corollary 2.4.11], together with the convexity of the Cheeger energy [AGS14a, Theorem 4.5].

(ii) is proved in [AGS14a, Theorem 9.3(iii)]. \square

Suppose now that (X, d, m) satisfies $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$. By polarization of 2Ch , we obtain a strongly local symmetric Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) := 2\text{Ch}(f), \quad f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}) := \text{Dom}(\text{Ch}).$$

Its infinitesimal generator is the *Laplacian on X* , defined as the unique non-positive definite self-adjoint operator $(\Delta, \text{Dom}(\Delta))$ on $L^2(X, m)$ with $\text{Dom}(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{F}$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = - \int \Delta u v \, dm \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(\Delta), v \in \mathcal{F}.$$

$(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is regular with core $\text{Lip}_c(X)$, and admits a carré du champ which we denote by $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$. In particular,

$$\Gamma(f, f) = |Df|_*^2 = |\nabla f|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Here, the gradient ∇f is defined as an element of the tangent modul $L^2(TX)$, see [Gig]. Moreover, the metric d is the length-metric induced by $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. We recall that the Γ_2 -operator is defined as

$$\Gamma_2(f, g) := \Gamma(f, \Delta g) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Gamma(f, g).$$

4.2 Neumann heat flow on $Y \subset X$

Let (X, d, m) satisfy (9). Let $Y \subset X$ be an arbitrary closed subset with $m(Y) > 0$ and $Y = \overline{Y^\circ}$, where Y° is the interior of Y and $\overline{Y^\circ}$ is its completion in (X, d) . We obtain a new metric measure space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) with $m_Y := m|_Y$ and d_Y being the induced length metric on Y . We will assume $d_Y < \infty$ on $Y \times Y$. (Alternatively, one could study the heat flow on each connected component of Y .) This new metric measure space again satisfies (9) (since $d_Y \geq d$). Moreover, the assumption $Y = \overline{Y^\circ}$ guarantees that m_Y has full topological support in Y .

For $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ put

$$|D_Y f|(x) := \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \neq x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d_Y(y, x)}, \quad x \in Y,$$

and let $|D_Y f|_*$ denote the minimal relaxed gradient of $f \in L^2(Y, m_Y)$ defined in terms of approximation by d_Y -Lipschitz functions on Y .

Lemma 4.4. (i) For each $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let $\tilde{f} = f|_Y$ denote its restriction to Y . Then

$$|D_Y \tilde{f}| \leq |Df| \quad \text{on } Y \quad \text{and} \quad |D_Y \tilde{f}| = |Df| \quad \text{on } Y^o.$$

(ii) For each $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support in Y^o

$$|D_Y f|_* = |Df|_* \quad m\text{-a.e. on } X.$$

Here and in the sequel $|D_Y f|_*$ will be extended to all of X with value 0 outside of Y .

Proof. (i) For each $x \in Y^o$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{2r}(x) \subset Y$. Thus $d_Y(y, x) = d(y, x)$ for all $y \in B_r(x)$.

(ii) Let f be given with compact support $Z \subset Y^o$.

To prove the \leq -assertion, let $g_n \in \text{Lip}(X, d)$ be given with $g_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(X, m)$ and $|Dg_n| \rightarrow |Df|_*$ weakly in $L^2(X, m)$. Denote by \tilde{f}_n and \tilde{g} the restrictions of f_n and g , resp., to the set Y . Then obviously $\tilde{g}_n \in \text{Lip}(Y, d_Y)$ (recall that $|D_Y \tilde{g}_n| \leq |Dg_n|$) and $\tilde{g}_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$. Moreover, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|D_Y \tilde{g}_n| \leq |Dg_n| \rightarrow |Df|_*$$

weakly in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$. Thus $|Df|_*$ is a relaxed upper d_Y -gradient for \tilde{f} which yields the claim: $|D_Y \tilde{f}|_* \leq |Df|_*$ a.e. on X .

To prove the converse, let $g_n \in \text{Lip}(Y, d_Y)$ be given with $g_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$ and $|Dg_n| \rightarrow |D_Y f|_*$ weakly in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$. Choose $r > 0$ with $B_r(Z) \subset Y^o$ and let $\chi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the d -Lipschitz ('cut-off') function with $\chi = 1$ on Z , $\chi = 0$ outside of $B_r(Z)$, and $|\chi'| \leq 1/r$ on X . Extend g_n and f to all of X with value 0 outside of Y and define $\hat{g}_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\hat{g}_n = \chi \cdot g_n$. Then $\hat{g}_n \in \text{Lip}(X, d)$ and $\hat{g}_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(X, m)$. Moreover, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ weakly in $L^2(X, m)$

$$|D\hat{g}_n| \leq \chi \cdot |Dg_n| + \frac{1}{r} \cdot 1_{Y \setminus Z} \cdot g_n \rightarrow \chi \cdot |D_Y f|_* = |D_Y f|_*$$

since $1_{Y \setminus Z} \cdot g_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(X, m)$ and since $|D_Y f|_* = 0$ a.e. outside of Z (by locality of $|D_Y \cdot|_*$). Thus $|D_Y f|_*$ is a relaxed upper d -gradient for f on X . Therefore, $|D\tilde{f}|_* \leq |D_Y f|_*$ a.e. on X . \square

Let $\text{Ch}_Y : L^2(Y, m_Y) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ denote the Cheeger energy for the metric measure space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) . From Lemma 4.3(i), we obtain the existence of the gradient flow for the Cheeger energy on Y .

Proposition 4.5. For each $f_0 \in L^2(Y, m_Y)$ there exists a unique gradient flow $(f_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)} \subset L^2(Y, m_Y)$ for the Cheeger energy Ch_Y which starts in f_0 . It is called the heat flow on Y starting in f_0 .

To distinguish this heat flow on Y from other 'heat flows' (with different 'boundary conditions') one might also call it 'Neumann heat flow on Y '.

Let us analyze the Cheeger energy on Y in more detail. In particular, we will identify it with the construction of the energy for the so-called 'reflected process' or 'Neumann Laplacian' as used in Dirichlet form theory and Markov process literature, e.g. [Sil74].

Recall the notation $\mathcal{F} = \text{Dom}(\text{Ch})$. For any open set $U \subset X$ let

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(U) := \{L^2_{\text{loc}}(U, m) : \forall \text{ open rel. compact } V \subset U, \exists g \in \mathcal{F}, f|_V = g|_V \text{ m-a.e.}\},$$

where $L^2_{\text{loc}}(U, m)$ is the space of measurable functions $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any compact subset $K \subset U$, there exists $g \in L^2(U, m)$ such that $f = g$ m-a.e. on K . Analogously, we define \mathcal{F}_Y and $\mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(U)$ for d_Y -open sets $U \subset Y$. Locality of the minimal relaxed gradient $|D \cdot|_*$ allows to extend its definition to $\mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(U)$ by setting $|Df|_* := |Dg|_*$ on V for $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f = g$ on V . Similarly for $|D_Y \cdot|_*$.

Lemma 4.6. *Under the previous assumptions, $\mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(Y^o) = \mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$ and for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$*

$$|Df|_* = |D_Y f|_* \quad \text{a.e. on } Y^o.$$

Proof. By definition, a function f lies in $\mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$ if and only if for each open V , relatively compact in Y^o there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f = g$ on V . By truncating g outside of V , the latter is easily seen to be equivalent to the fact that for each open V , relatively compact in Y^o there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with compact support in Y^o and with $f = g$ on V . By the previous lemma, this in turn is equivalent to the fact that for each open V , relatively compact in Y^o there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}_Y$ with compact support in Y^o and with $f = g$ on V . Following the previous argumentation, this finally is equivalent to $f \in \mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$.

Moreover, for each such V and g with compact support in Y^o , the previous lemma yields $|Dg|_* = |D_Y g|_*$ a.e. on X . Thus $|Df|_* = |D_Y f|_*$ a.e. on V . This proves the claim. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that (X, d, m) satisfies (9) and that Y is a closed subset of X with $d_Y < \infty$, $m(Y) > 0$, $m(\partial Y) = 0$ and $Y = \overline{Y^o}$. Then*

$$\text{Ch}_Y(f) = \int_{Y^o} |Df|_*^2 dm$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$ and

$$\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{loc}}(Y^o) : \int_{Y^o} [|Df|_*^2 + f^2] dm < \infty\}.$$

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(Y^o) \supset \mathcal{F}_Y$. The assumption $m(\partial Y) = 0$ and the previous lemma imply

$$\text{Ch}_Y(f) = \int_Y |D_Y f|_*^2 dm = \int_{Y^o} |D_Y f|_*^2 dm = \int_{Y^o} |Df|_*^2 dm$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_Y^{\text{loc}}(Y^o)$. This proves the claim. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.7: if (X, d, m) is infinitesimally Hilbertian (that is, \mathcal{F} is a Hilbert space for the inner product induced by the Cheeger energy) then so is (Y, d_Y, m_Y) .*

Corollary 4.9. *Assume that (X, d, m) is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies (9) and that Y is a closed subset of X with $d_Y < \infty$, $m(Y) > 0$, $m(\partial Y) = 0$ and $Y = \overline{Y^o}$.*

(i) *Then Ch_Y is a quadratic form. By polarization it induces a Dirichlet form \mathcal{E}_Y on $L^2(Y, m_Y)$ which is regular and strongly local with core $\text{Lip}_c(Y)$. Its generator Δ^Y , the Neumann Laplacian on Y , is a linear self-adjoint nonpositive operator.*

(ii) *The heat flow on Y , initially defined as the gradient flow for the Cheeger energy Ch_Y on $L^2(Y, m_Y)$, is given in terms of the linear heat semigroup $(P_t^Y)_{t>0}$ on L^2 generated by the Neumann Laplacian on Y .*

(iii) *The m_Y -symmetric continuous Markov process on Y associated with \mathcal{E}_Y is ‘the Brownian motion on Y with reflecting boundary conditions’.*

Example 4.10 (Disc with ray). $Y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ regarded as subset of $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, the latter being equipped with Euclidean distance d and Lebesgue measure m . Then $Y \supsetneq \overline{Y^o}$ and m_Y will not have full topological support in Y .

Example 4.11 (Disc without ray). $U = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1 \text{ and either } y \neq 0 \text{ or } x < 0\}$ regarded as subset of $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, the latter being equipped with Euclidean distance d and Lebesgue measure m . Put $Y = \overline{U}$. (Note that $Y^o \neq U$.) Then

$$\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_Y) \subsetneq \text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_U) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}}(U) : \int_U [|Df|_*^2 + f^2] dm < \infty\}.$$

For instance, the latter set contains the function f with $f(x, y) = +x$ on the upper right quadrant, $f(x, y) = -x$ on the lower right quadrant and $f(x, y) = 0$ on the left half space. This function obviously is not in $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_Y)$.

Example 4.12 (Disc without cusp). $Y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1, |y| \geq x^3\}$ regarded as subset of $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

$$\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_Y) \supsetneq \{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}}(X) : \int_Y [|Df|_*^2 + f^2] dm < \infty\}$$

(‘no extension property’). Consider the function f from the previous example which obviously now lies in $\text{Dom}(\text{Ch}_Y)$. But there does not exist any $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with $g = f$ on Y° . Indeed, if such g ’s existed then the one with minimal energy among all of them would be harmonic in $X \setminus Y$. For $g(x, y) = \frac{2x}{2x^3}y$, we have $|\nabla g(x, y)| = \frac{2x}{2x^3}$ for (x, y) in the cusp and thus

$$\int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 1} |\nabla g(x, y)|^2 dx dy \leq \int_0^1 \int_{-x^3}^{x^3} |\nabla g(x, y)|^2 dy dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^4} 2x^3 dx = \infty.$$

By construction, the energy of g is already quite close to being minimal. Hence, there does not exist any $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with $g = f$ on Y° .

4.3 Neumann heat flow as gradient flow of the entropy

Our main goal in this section is to prove that – also on non-convex sets Y – the heat flow can be uniquely characterized as gradient flow for the entropy Ent_{m_Y} in the space of probability measures on Y equipped with the L^2 -Wasserstein distance induced by d_Y .

Theorem 4.13. *Let (X, d, m) be a $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ space and Y be a regularly κ -convex set (for some $\kappa \leq 0$) with $d_Y < \infty$, $m(Y) > 0$ and $Y = \overline{Y^\circ}$. Then for all $f_0 \in L^2(Y, m_Y)$ with $\mu_0 = f_0 m_Y \in \mathcal{P}_2(Y)$ the following are equivalent*

- (i) $t \mapsto f_t$ is a gradient flow for Ch_Y in $L^2(Y, m_Y)$
- (ii) $t \mapsto \mu_t = f_t m_Y$ is a gradient flow for Ent_{m_Y} in $(\mathcal{P}(Y), W_{2, d_Y})$.

In both cases, ‘gradient flow’ is understood in the sense of Definition 1.3.

Under the additional assumption that $m(\partial Y) = 0$, the heat flow on Y is given in terms of the Neumann heat semigroup, see Corollary 4.9.

Our strategy to prove Theorem 4.13 relies on a number of non-trivial facts which we collect in the next section and which will now be applied to the metric measure space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) in the place of (X, d, m) .

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let V_ε be a regularly κ -convex function as requested in Definition 3.4 with $Y = \{V_\varepsilon \leq 0\}$ and $V_\varepsilon > -\varepsilon$ on X . For each positive integer k , set

$$\phi_k(x) := e^{-2\kappa V_\varepsilon(x)}$$

where we choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ so small (depending on k) that $1 \geq \phi_k \geq \frac{k}{k+1}$ on $Y = \{V_\varepsilon \leq 0\}$. Since V_ε is in $\text{TestF}(X)$, V_ε is bounded, so $\phi_k \leq c$ for some $c > 0$. By construction, we have $\phi_k(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in Y$. By Theorem 2.16 (with $\kappa' = 2\kappa$), Y is locally geodesically convex in $(X, \phi_k \odot d)$.

Thus we have constructed a sequence of functions (ϕ_k) with the following properties:

- (i) $1 \geq \phi_k \geq \frac{k}{k+1}$ on Y , and $\phi_k = 1$ on ∂Y ,
- (ii) $1 \leq \phi_k \leq c$ on $X \setminus Y$,
- (iii) For every $x \in Y$, $\phi_k(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) Y is a locally geodesically convex subset of $(X, \phi_k \odot d)$,
- (v) $(X, \phi_k \odot d, m)$ satisfies $CD(K', \infty)$ for some $K' \in \mathbb{R}$ that may depend on Y , K and k .

The last property, indeed, follows from Theorem 3.6.

For simplicity, we will write $d_k := \phi_k \odot d$. We have

$$\frac{k}{k+1} d_Y(x, y) \leq d_k(x, y) \leq d_Y(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in Y,$$

hence,

$$\frac{k}{k+1} W_{2,d_Y}(\mu, \nu) \leq W_{2,d_k}(\mu, \nu) \leq W_{2,d_Y}(\mu, \nu) \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y).$$

Therefore, the descending slope of the relative entropy for the Wasserstein space $(\mathcal{P}(Y), W_{2,d_k})$,

$$\left| D_{W_{2,d_k}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right| := \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \mu, \nu \neq \mu} \frac{[\text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) - \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\nu)]_+}{W_{2,d_k}(\mu, \nu)},$$

is bounded between $\left| D_{W_{2,d_Y}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|$ and $\frac{k+1}{k} \left| D_{W_{2,d_Y}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|$.

By the Convexification Theorem (Theorem 2.16), Y is a locally geodesically convex subset of the $\text{CD}(K', \infty)$ -space (X, d_k, m) , hence Y inherits the $\text{CD}(K', \infty)$ -condition. Thanks to Proposition 4.17, we are now in a position to apply Proposition 4.15. For $\mu = \rho m$ and $f = \sqrt{\rho}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \int_Y |D\sqrt{\rho}|_{*,d_Y}^2 dm &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4 \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \int_Y |D\sqrt{\rho}|_{*,d_k}^2 dm \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \left| D_{W_{2,d_k}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|^2 \\ &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^2 \left| D_{W_{2,d_Y}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|^2 = \left| D_{W_{2,d_Y}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the opposite inequality which holds by Proposition 4.16, we get the equality

$$4 \int_Y |D\sqrt{\rho}|_{*,d_Y}^2 dm = \left| D_{W_{2,d_Y}}^- \text{Ent}_{m_Y}(\mu) \right|^2. \quad (11)$$

Finally, we apply Propositions 4.15 and 4.14. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.13. \square

4.4 Facts on slopes and gradient flows

Let a metric measure space (X, d, m) be given where (X, d) is a complete locally compact geodesic space and m is a locally finite Borel measure with full topological support and satisfies (9). Let $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ denote the space of probability measures on X with finite second moment.

Proposition 4.14. [AGS14a, Theorem 8.5] *Assume that $|D^- \text{Ent}_m|$ is sequentially lower semi-continuous w.r.t. strong convergence with moments in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ on sublevels of Ent_m . Then for all $f_0 \in L^2(X, m)$ such that $\mu_0 = f_0 m \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$, the following equivalence holds:*

- (i) *If f_t is the gradient flow of Ch in $L^2(X, m)$ starting from f_0 , then $\mu_t := f_t m$ is the gradient flow of Ent_m in $(\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$ starting from μ_0 , $t \mapsto \text{Ent}_m(\mu_t)$ is locally absolutely continuous in $(0, \infty)$ and*

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \text{Ent}_m(\mu_t) = |\dot{\mu}_t|^2 = |D^- \text{Ent}_m(\mu_t)|^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, \infty).$$

- (ii) *Conversely, if $|D^- \text{Ent}_m|$ is an upper gradient of Ent_m , and μ_t is the gradient flow of Ent_m in $(\mathcal{P}(X), W_2)$ starting from μ_0 , then $\mu_t = f_t m$ and f_t is the gradient flow of Ch in $L^2(X, m)$ starting from f_0 .*

Proposition 4.15. [AGS14a, Theorem 7.6] $|D^- Ent_m|$ is sequentially lower semicontinuous w.r.t. strong convergence with moments in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ on sublevels of Ent_m if and only if

$$4 \int_X |D\sqrt{\rho}|_*^2 dm = |D^- Ent_m|^2(\mu), \quad \forall \mu = \rho m \in Dom(Ent_m). \quad (12)$$

Proposition 4.16. [AGS14a, Theorem 7.4] Let $\mu = \rho m \in Dom(Ent_m)$ with $|D^- Ent_m|(\mu) < \infty$. Then $\sqrt{\rho} \in Dom(Ch_*)$ and

$$4 \int_X |D\sqrt{\rho}|_*^2 dm \leq |D^- Ent_m|^2(\mu).$$

Proposition 4.17. [AGS14a, Proposition 9.7] If $CD(K, \infty)$ holds, then $|D^- Ent_m|$ is sequentially lower semicontinuous w.r.t. weak convergence (hence strong convergence) with moments in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ on sublevels of Ent_m . In particular, the gradient flow of the relative entropy can be identified with the heat flow in the sense of Proposition 4.14.

References

- [AB03] Stephanie Alexander and Richard L. Bishop. FK-convex functions on metric spaces. *Manuscripta Math.* 110:115–133, 2003.
- [AGS14a] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below. *Invent. Math.*, 195(2):289–391, 2014.
- [AGS05] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [AGS14b] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(7):1405–1490, 2014.
- [AGS15] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré. Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(1):339–404, 2015.
- [AS96] Luigi Ambrosio and Halil Mete Soner. Level set approach to mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43(4):693–737, 1996.
- [BS10] Kathrin Bacher and Karl-Theodor Sturm. Localization and tensorization properties of the curvature-dimension condition for metric measure spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(1):28–56, 2010.
- [Che99] J. Cheeger. Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(3):428–517, 1999.
- [EKS15] Matthias Erbar, Kazumasa Kuwada, and Karl-Theodor Sturm. On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner’s inequality on metric measure spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 201(3):993–1071, 2015.
- [Gig] Nicola Gigli. Nonsmooth differential geometry. arXiv:1407.0809.
- [HM] Bang-Xian Han, Anna Mkrtychyan. Conformal transformation on metric measure spaces. arXiv:1511.03115v2.
- [HK98] Juha Heinonen and Pekka Koskela. Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry. *Acta Math.*, 181(1):1–61, 1998.
- [JKO98] Richard Jordan, David Kinderlehrer, Felix Otto. The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 29, 29(1): 1–17, 1998.
- [Ket15] Christian Ketterer. Obata’s rigidity theorem for metric measure spaces. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, 3:278–295, 2015.

- [Sha00] Nageswari Shanmugalingam. Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 16(2):243–279, 2000.
- [Sil74] Martin L. Silverstein. *Symmetric Markov processes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 426. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [Stu] Karl-Theodor Sturm. Gradient flows for semiconvex functions on metric measure spaces existence, uniqueness and Lipschitz continuity. arXiv:1410.3966v2.
- [Stu06] Karl-Theodor Sturm. On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I. *Acta Math.*, 196(1):65–131, 2006.
- [Vil09] Cédric Villani. *Optimal transport*, volume 338 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new.